#### Midterm review

Dr. Jarad Niemi

Iowa State University

March 6, 2018

Chapters

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)
- Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)
- Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)
- Asymptotics and connections to non-Bayesian approaches (Ch 4)

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)
- Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)
- Asymptotics and connections to non-Bayesian approaches (Ch 4)
- Hierarchical models (Ch 5)

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)
- Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)
- Asymptotics and connections to non-Bayesian approaches (Ch 4)
- Hierarchical models (Ch 5)
- Model checking (Ch 6)

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)
- Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)
- Asymptotics and connections to non-Bayesian approaches (Ch 4)
- Hierarchical models (Ch 5)
- Model checking (Ch 6)
- Bayesian hypothesis tests (Sec 7.4)

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)
- Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)
- Asymptotics and connections to non-Bayesian approaches (Ch 4)
- Hierarchical models (Ch 5)
- Model checking (Ch 6)
- Bayesian hypothesis tests (Sec 7.4)
- Decision theory (Sec 9.1)

- Probability and inference (Ch 1)
- Single-parameter models (Ch 2)
- Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)
- Asymptotics and connections to non-Bayesian approaches (Ch 4)
- Hierarchical models (Ch 5)
- Model checking (Ch 6)
- Bayesian hypothesis tests (Sec 7.4)
- Decision theory (Sec 9.1)
- Stan

• Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)

• Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)

• Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$ 

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$
  - Predictions:  $p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$
  - Predictions:  $p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$
  - Model probabilities  $p(M|y) \propto p(y|M)p(M)$  where  $p(y|M) = \int p(y|\theta, M)p(\theta|M)d\theta$ .

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$
  - Predictions:  $p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$
  - Model probabilities  $p(M|y) \propto p(y|M)p(M)$  where  $p(y|M) = \int p(y|\theta, M)p(\theta|M)d\theta$ .
- Interpreting Bayesian probabilities (Sec 1.5)

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p( heta|y) \propto p(y| heta)p( heta)$
  - Predictions:  $p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$
  - Model probabilities  $p(M|y) \propto p(y|M)p(M)$  where  $p(y|M) = \int p(y|\theta, M)p(\theta|M)d\theta$ .
- Interpreting Bayesian probabilities (Sec 1.5)
  - Epistemic probability: my belief

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$
  - Predictions:  $p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$
  - Model probabilities  $p(M|y) \propto p(y|M)p(M)$  where  $p(y|M) = \int p(y|\theta, M)p(\theta|M)d\theta$ .
- Interpreting Bayesian probabilities (Sec 1.5)
  - Epistemic probability: my belief
  - Frequency probability: long run percentage

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$
  - Predictions:  $p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$
  - Model probabilities  $p(M|y) \propto p(y|M)p(M)$  where  $p(y|M) = \int p(y|\theta, M)p(\theta|M)d\theta$ .
- Interpreting Bayesian probabilities (Sec 1.5)
  - Epistemic probability: my belief
  - Frequency probability: long run percentage
- Computation (Sec 1.9)

- Three steps of Bayesian data analysis (Sec 1.1)
  - Set up a full probability model:  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $p(\theta)$
  - Condition on observed data:  $p(\theta|y)$
  - Evaluate the fit of the model:  $p(y^{rep}|y)$
- Bayesian inference via Bayes' rule (Sec 1.3)
  - Parameter posteriors:  $p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$
  - Predictions:  $p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$
  - Model probabilities  $p(M|y) \propto p(y|M)p(M)$  where  $p(y|M) = \int p(y|\theta, M)p(\theta|M)d\theta$ .
- Interpreting Bayesian probabilities (Sec 1.5)
  - Epistemic probability: my belief
  - Frequency probability: long run percentage
- Computation (Sec 1.9)
  - Inference via simulations

#### General

- Priors
  - Conjugate (Sec 2.4)
  - Default Jeffreys (Sec 2.8)
  - Weakly informative (Sec 2.9)
- Posteriors
  - Compromise between data and prior (2.2)
  - Point estimation
  - Credible intervals (Sec 2.3)

#### Specific models

- Binomial (Sec 2.1–2.4)
- Normal, unknown mean (Sec 2.5)
- Normal, unknown variance (Sec 2.6)
- Poisson (Sec 2.6)
- Exponential (Sec 2.6)
- Poisson with exposure (Sec 2.7)

Additional comments:

• Deriving posteriors using the kernel

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function
  - Mean minimizes squared error

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function
  - Mean minimizes squared error
  - Median minimizes absolute error

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function
  - Mean minimizes squared error
  - Median minimizes absolute error
  - Mode is obtained as a limit of minimizing a sequence of 0-1 errors

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function
  - Mean minimizes squared error
  - Median minimizes absolute error
  - Mode is obtained as a limit of minimizing a sequence of 0-1 errors
- Credible intervals

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function
  - Mean minimizes squared error
  - Median minimizes absolute error
  - Mode is obtained as a limit of minimizing a sequence of 0-1 errors
- Credible intervals
  - One-tailed

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function
  - Mean minimizes squared error
  - Median minimizes absolute error
  - Mode is obtained as a limit of minimizing a sequence of 0-1 errors
- Credible intervals
  - One-tailed
  - Equal-tailed

- Deriving posteriors using the kernel
- Discrete priors are conjugate
- Mixtures of conjugate priors are conjugate
- Point estimation depends on utility function
  - Mean minimizes squared error
  - Median minimizes absolute error
  - Mode is obtained as a limit of minimizing a sequence of 0-1 errors
- Credible intervals
  - One-tailed
  - Equal-tailed
  - Highest posterior density

#### Introduction to multiparameter models (Ch 3)

#### • Joint posterior

$$p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y) \propto p(y|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$$
Joint posterior

$$p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y) \propto p(y|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$$

Marginal posterior

$$p(\theta_1|y) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n|y) d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n$$

Joint posterior

$$p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y) \propto p(y|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$$

Marginal posterior

$$p(\theta_1|y) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n|y) d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n$$

• Conditional posteriors

$$p(\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_n|\theta_1,y) \propto p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y)$$

Joint posterior

$$p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y) \propto p(y|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$$

Marginal posterior

$$p(\theta_1|y) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n|y) d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n$$

Conditional posteriors

$$p(\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_n|\theta_1,y) \propto p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y)$$

• Posterior decomposition, e.g.

$$p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y) = p(\theta_1|y)\prod_{i=2}^n p(\theta_i|\theta_{1:i-1},y)$$

where  $1: i - 1 = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1$ .

Joint posterior

$$p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y) \propto p(y|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$$

Marginal posterior

$$p(\theta_1|y) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n|y) d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n$$

Conditional posteriors

$$p(\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_n|\theta_1,y) \propto p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y)$$

• Posterior decomposition, e.g.

$$p(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n|y) = p(\theta_1|y) \prod_{i=2}^n p(\theta_i|\theta_{1:i-1},y)$$

where  $1: i - 1 = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1$ .

Conditional independence, e.g.

$$p(\theta_i|\theta_{1:i-1}, y) = p(\theta_i|\theta_{i-1}, y)$$

• Normal model with default prior (Sec 3.2)

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad p(\mu, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$$

• Normal model with default prior (Sec 3.2)

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad p(\mu, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$$

results in

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) = N(\overline{y}, \sigma^2/n) \operatorname{Inv-} \chi^2(n-1, s^2)$$

• Normal model with default prior (Sec 3.2)

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad p(\mu, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$$

results in

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) = N(\overline{y}, \sigma^2/n) \operatorname{Inv-} \chi^2(n-1, s^2)$$
  
where  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \overline{y})^2$ .

• Normal model with default prior (Sec 3.2)

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad p(\mu, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$$

results in

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2|y) = N(\overline{y}, \sigma^2/n) \operatorname{Inv-}\chi^2(n-1, s^2)$$

where  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$ .

• Normal model with conjugate prior (Sec 3.3)

$$y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad \mu | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2/\kappa_0) \quad \sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$
 results in

$$p(\mu,\sigma^2|y) = N\left(\frac{\kappa_0\mu_0 + n\overline{y}}{\kappa_0 + n}, \frac{\sigma^2}{\kappa_0 + n}\right) \operatorname{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_0 + n, \sigma_n^2)$$

• Normal model with default prior (Sec 3.2)

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad p(\mu, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$$

results in

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2|y) = N(\overline{y}, \sigma^2/n) \operatorname{Inv-} \chi^2(n-1, s^2)$$

where  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$ .

• Normal model with conjugate prior (Sec 3.3)

$$y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad \mu | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2/\kappa_0) \quad \sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$
 results in

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) = N\left(\frac{\kappa_0 \mu_0 + n\overline{y}}{\kappa_0 + n}, \frac{\sigma^2}{\kappa_0 + n}\right) \operatorname{Inv-} \chi^2(\nu_0 + n, \sigma_n^2)$$
  
where  $\sigma_n^2 = \left[\nu_0 \sigma_0^2 + (n-1)s^2 + \frac{\kappa_0 n}{\kappa_0 + n}(\overline{y} - \mu_0)^2\right] / (\nu_0 + n).$ 

• Normal model with default prior (Sec 3.2)

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad p(\mu, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$$

results in

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2|y) = N(\overline{y}, \sigma^2/n) \operatorname{Inv-} \chi^2(n-1, s^2)$$

where  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$ .

• Normal model with conjugate prior (Sec 3.3)

$$y \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad \mu | \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2/\kappa_0) \quad \sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$
 results in

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) = N\left(\frac{\kappa_0 \mu_0 + n\overline{y}}{\kappa_0 + n}, \frac{\sigma^2}{\kappa_0 + n}\right) \operatorname{Inv-} \chi^2(\nu_0 + n, \sigma_n^2)$$
  
where  $\sigma_n^2 = \left[\nu_0 \sigma_0^2 + (n-1)s^2 + \frac{\kappa_0 n}{\kappa_0 + n}(\overline{y} - \mu_0)^2\right] / (\nu_0 + n).$ 

Consider a model  $y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta_0)$  for some true value  $\theta_0$ .

Consider a model  $y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta_0)$  for some true value  $\theta_0$ .

• Posterior convergence: If A is a neighborhood of  $\theta_0$ , then  $Pr(\theta \in A|y) \rightarrow 1$ .

Consider a model  $y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta_0)$  for some true value  $\theta_0$ .

- Posterior convergence: If A is a neighborhood of  $\theta_0$ , then  $Pr(\theta \in A|y) \rightarrow 1$ .
- Point estimation:

$$\hat{\theta}_{Bayes} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} \theta_0$$

Consider a model  $y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta_0)$  for some true value  $\theta_0$ .

- Posterior convergence: If A is a neighborhood of  $\theta_0$ , then  $Pr(\theta \in A|y) \rightarrow 1$ .
- Point estimation:

$$\hat{\theta}_{Bayes} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} \theta_0$$

• Limiting distribution:

$$\theta | y \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(\hat{\theta}, \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{I}(\hat{\theta})^{-1}\right)$$

#### • Not unique to Bayesian statistics

- Unidentified parameters
- Number of parameters increase with sample size
- Aliasing
- Unbounded likelihoods
- Tails of the distribution
- True sampling distribution is not  $p(y|\theta)$

#### • Not unique to Bayesian statistics

- Unidentified parameters
- Number of parameters increase with sample size
- Aliasing
- Unbounded likelihoods
- Tails of the distribution
- True sampling distribution is not  $p(y|\theta)$
- Unique to Bayesian statistics
  - Improper posterior

#### Not unique to Bayesian statistics

- Unidentified parameters
- Number of parameters increase with sample size
- Aliasing
- Unbounded likelihoods
- Tails of the distribution
- True sampling distribution is not  $p(y|\theta)$
- Unique to Bayesian statistics
  - Improper posterior
  - Prior distributions that exclude the point of convergence

#### • Not unique to Bayesian statistics

- Unidentified parameters
- Number of parameters increase with sample size
- Aliasing
- Unbounded likelihoods
- Tails of the distribution
- True sampling distribution is not  $p(y|\theta)$
- Unique to Bayesian statistics
  - Improper posterior
  - Prior distributions that exclude the point of convergence
  - Convergence to the edge of the parameter space

• Hierarchical model (Ch 5):

 $p(\theta, \phi | y) \propto p(y|\theta) p(\theta|\phi) p(\phi)$ 

• Hierarchical model (Ch 5):

 $p( heta, \phi | y) \propto p(y | heta) p( heta | \phi) p(\phi)$ 

• Exchangeability (Sec 5.2)

$$p(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=p(y_{\pi_1},\ldots,y_{\pi_n})$$

• Hierarchical model (Ch 5):

 $p( heta, \phi | y) \propto p(y | heta) p( heta | \phi) p(\phi)$ 

• Exchangeability (Sec 5.2)

$$p(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=p(y_{\pi_1},\ldots,y_{\pi_n})$$

• Hierarchical binomial model (Sec 5.3):

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i) \quad \theta_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Be(\alpha, \beta)$$

• Hierarchical model (Ch 5):

 $p(\theta, \phi | y) \propto p(y|\theta) p(\theta|\phi) p(\phi)$ 

• Exchangeability (Sec 5.2)

$$p(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=p(y_{\pi_1},\ldots,y_{\pi_n})$$

• Hierarchical binomial model (Sec 5.3):

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i) \quad \theta_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Be(\alpha, \beta)$$

• Hierarchical Poisson (with exposure) model

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Po}(x_i\lambda_i) \quad \lambda_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Ga}(\mu\beta,\beta)$$

• Hierarchical model (Ch 5):

 $p( heta, \phi | y) \propto p(y| heta) p( heta|\phi) p(\phi)$ 

• Exchangeability (Sec 5.2)

$$p(y_1,\ldots,y_n)=p(y_{\pi_1},\ldots,y_{\pi_n})$$

• Hierarchical binomial model (Sec 5.3):

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i) \quad \theta_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Be(\alpha, \beta)$$

• Hierarchical Poisson (with exposure) model

$$y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Po}(x_i\lambda_i) \quad \lambda_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Ga}(\mu\beta,\beta)$$

• Hierarchical normal model (Sec 5.4)

$$y_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2) \quad \mu_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\eta, \tau^2) \quad \sigma_j^2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{Ga}(\alpha, \beta)$$

# Model checking (Ch 6)

• Data replications

$$p(y^{rep}|y) = \int p(y^{rep}|\theta)p(\theta|y)d\theta$$

# Model checking (Ch 6)

• Data replications

$$p(y^{rep}|y) = \int p(y^{rep}|\theta)p(\theta|y)d\theta$$

• Graphical posterior predictive checks (Sec 6.4)

# Model checking (Ch 6)

Data replications

$$p(y^{rep}|y) = \int p(y^{rep}|\theta)p(\theta|y)d\theta$$

- Graphical posterior predictive checks (Sec 6.4)
- Posterior predictive pvalues (Sec 6.3)

$$p_B = P(T(y^{rep}, \theta) \ge T(y, \theta)|y)$$

for a test statistic  $T(y, \theta)$ .

From a Bayesian perspective,

Simple:  $H_i : \theta = \theta_i$  Composite:  $H_i : \theta \in (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]$ 

Treat all simple (or all composite) hypotheses as formal Bayesian parameter estimation. Treat a mix of simple and composite hypotheses as formal Bayesian tests.

From a Bayesian perspective,

Simple:  $H_i : \theta = \theta_i$  Composite:  $H_i : \theta \in (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]$ 

Treat all simple (or all composite) hypotheses as formal Bayesian parameter estimation. Treat a mix of simple and composite hypotheses as formal Bayesian tests.

Formal Bayesian tests

• require prior probabilities for each hypothesis,  $p(H_i)$ ,

From a Bayesian perspective,

Simple:  $H_i: \theta = \theta_i$  Composite:  $H_i: \theta \in (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]$ 

Treat all simple (or all composite) hypotheses as formal Bayesian parameter estimation. Treat a mix of simple and composite hypotheses as formal Bayesian tests.

Formal Bayesian tests

- require prior probabilities for each hypothesis,  $p(H_i)$ ,
- require priors for parameters in non-point hypotheses,  $p(\theta|H_i)$ , and

From a Bayesian perspective,

Simple:  $H_i: \theta = \theta_i$  Composite:  $H_i: \theta \in (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]$ 

Treat all simple (or all composite) hypotheses as formal Bayesian parameter estimation. Treat a mix of simple and composite hypotheses as formal Bayesian tests.

Formal Bayesian tests

- require prior probabilities for each hypothesis,  $p(H_i)$ ,
- require priors for parameters in non-point hypotheses,  $p(\theta|H_i)$ , and
- calculate posterior probabilities  $p(H_i|y)$  which depend on

From a Bayesian perspective,

Simple:  $H_i: \theta = \theta_i$  Composite:  $H_i: \theta \in (\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]$ 

Treat all simple (or all composite) hypotheses as formal Bayesian parameter estimation. Treat a mix of simple and composite hypotheses as formal Bayesian tests.

Formal Bayesian tests

- require prior probabilities for each hypothesis,  $p(H_i)$ ,
- require priors for parameters in non-point hypotheses,  $p(\theta|H_i)$ , and
- calculate posterior probabilities  $p(H_i|y)$  which depend on
- the marginal likelihood,  $p(y|H_i)$ .

#### Decision theory

# Decision theory (Sec 9.1)

In order to make a decision, a utility (or loss) function, i.e.  $U(\theta, \delta) = -L(\theta, \delta)$ , must be set.

#### Decision theory (Sec 9.1)

In order to make a decision, a utility (or loss) function, i.e.  $U(\theta, \delta) = -L(\theta, \delta)$ , must be set. Then the optimal Bayesian decision is to maximize expected utility (or minimize expected loss), i.e.

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\delta} \int U(\theta, \delta) p(\theta) d\theta$$

#### Decision theory (Sec 9.1)

In order to make a decision, a utility (or loss) function, i.e.  $U(\theta, \delta) = -L(\theta, \delta)$ , must be set. Then the optimal Bayesian decision is to maximize expected utility (or minimize expected loss), i.e.

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\delta} \int U(\theta, \delta) p(\theta) d\theta$$

where  $p(\theta)$  represents your current state of belief,

## Decision theory (Sec 9.1)

In order to make a decision, a utility (or loss) function, i.e.  $U(\theta, \delta) = -L(\theta, \delta)$ , must be set. Then the optimal Bayesian decision is to maximize expected utility (or minimize expected loss), i.e.

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\delta} \int U(\theta, \delta) p(\theta) d\theta$$

where  $p(\theta)$  represents your current state of belief, i.e. it could be a prior or a posterior depending on your perspective.

#### Stan

```
model = "
data {
  int<lower=0> N;
  int<lower=0> n[N];
  int<lower=0> y[N];
  real s:
parameters {
  real<lower=0,upper=1> mu;
  real<lower=0> eta;
transformed parameters {
  real<lower=0> alpha;
  real<lower=0> beta;
  alpha <- eta * mu:
  beta <- eta * (1-mu);
model
  mu
      ~ beta(20,30);
  eta ~ lognormal(0,s);
      ~ beta_binomial(n,alpha,beta);
  v
generated quantities {
  real<lower=0,upper=1> theta[N];
  for (i in 1:N) theta[i] <- beta_rng(alpha+y[i], beta+n[i]-y[i]);</pre>
```