R04 - Regression with Categorical Explanatory Variables

STAT 5870 (Engineering) Iowa State University

November 11, 2024

Binary explanatory variable

Recall the simple linear regression model

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i, \sigma^2).$$

If we have a binary explanatory variable, i.e. the explanatory variable only has two levels say level A and level B, we can code it as

 $X_i = I(\text{observation } i \text{ is level } A)$

where I(statement) is an indicator function that is 1 when statement is true and 0 otherwise. Then

- β_0 is the expected response for level B,
- $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ is the expected response for level A, and
- β₁ is the expected difference in response (level A minus level B).

Mice lifetimes

Sleuth3::case0501



(STAT5870@ISU)

Regression model for mice lifetimes

Let

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i, \sigma^2)$$

where Y_i is the lifetime of the *i*th mouse and

 $X_i = I(Diet_i = N/R50)$

then

$$\begin{split} E[\mathsf{Lifetime}|\mathsf{R}/\mathsf{R50}] &= E[Y_i|X_i=0] &= \beta_0\\ E[\mathsf{Lifetime}|\mathsf{N}/\mathsf{R50}] &= E[Y_i|X_i=1] &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} E[\text{Lifetime difference}] \\ &= E[\text{Lifetime}|\text{N}/\text{R50}] - E[\text{Lifetime}|\text{R}/\text{R50}] \\ &= (\beta_0 + \beta_1) - \beta_0 = \beta_1. \end{split}$$

R code

```
case0501$X <- ifelse(case0501$Diet == "N/R50", 1, 0)
(m <- lm(Lifetime ~ X, data = case0501, subset = Diet %in% c("R/R50","N/R50")))
Call:
lm(formula = Lifetime ~ X, data = case0501, subset = Diet %in%
   c("R/R50", "N/R50"))
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
                      Х
   42.8857 -0.5885
confint(m)
               2.5 % 97.5 %
(Intercept) 40.952257 44.819172
х
           -3.174405 1.997342
predict(m, data.frame(X=1), interval = "confidence") # Expected lifetime on N/R50
      fit
               lwr
                       upr
1 42 29718 40 58007 44 0143
```

Mice lifetimes



Equivalence to a two-sample t-test

Recall that our two-sample t-test had the model

$$Y_{ij} \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\mu_j, \sigma^2)$$

for groups j = 0, 1. This is equivalent to our current regression model where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mu_0 &= \beta_0 \\ \mu_1 &= \beta_0 + \beta_2 \end{array}$$

assuming

- μ_0 represents the mean for the R/R50 group and
- μ_1 represents the mean for N/R50 group.

When the models are effectively the same, but have different parameters we say the model is reparameterized.

Equivalence

summary(m)\$coefficients[2,4] # p-value [1] 0.6531748 confint(m) 2.5 % 97.5 % (Intercept) 40,952257 44,819172 -3.174405 1.997342 х t.test(Lifetime ~ Diet. data = case0501, subset = Diet XinX c("R/R50", "N/R50"), var.equal=TRUE) Two Sample t-test data: Lifetime by Diet t = -0.45044, df = 125, p-value = 0.6532 alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group N/R50 and group R/R50 is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval: -3.174405 1.997342 sample estimates: mean in group N/R50 mean in group R/R50 42.29718 42.88571

Using a categorical variable as an explanatory variable.



Many levels

Regression with a categorical variable

- Choose one of the levels as the reference level, e.g. N/N85 1.
- 2. Construct dummy variables using indicator functions, i.e.

$$I(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & A \text{ is TRUE} \\ 0 & A \text{ is FALSE} \end{cases}$$

for the other levels, e.g.

$$\begin{array}{l} X_{i,1} = \mathrm{I}(\text{diet for observation } i \text{ is N/R40}) \\ X_{i,2} = \mathrm{I}(\text{diet for observation } i \text{ is N/R50}) \\ X_{i,3} = \mathrm{I}(\text{diet for observation } i \text{ is NP}) \\ X_{i,4} = \mathrm{I}(\text{diet for observation } i \text{ is R/R50}) \\ X_{i,5} = \mathrm{I}(\text{diet for observation } i \text{ is lopro}) \end{array}$$

3. Estimate the parameters of a multiple regression model using these dummy variables.

Regression model

Our regression model becomes

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,1} + \beta_2 X_{i,2} + \beta_3 X_{i,3} + \beta_4 X_{i,4} + \beta_5 X_{i,5}, \sigma^2)$$

where

- β_0 is the expected lifetime for the N/N85 group
- $\beta_0+\beta_1$ is the expected lifetime for the N/R40 group
- $\beta_0+\beta_2$ is the expected lifetime for the N/R50 group
- $\beta_0+\beta_3$ is the expected lifetime for the NP group
- $\beta_0 + \beta_4$ is the expected lifetime for the R/R50 group
- $\beta_0+\beta_5$ is the expected lifetime for the lopro group

and thus β_p for p > 0 is the difference in expected lifetimes between one group and a reference group.

R code

```
case0501 <- case0501 |>
  mutate(X1 = Diet == "N/R40",
         X2 = Diet == "N/R50".
         X3 = Diet == "NP".
         X4 = Diet == "R/R50",
         X5 = Diet == "lopro")
m <- lm(Lifetime ~ X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5, data = case0501)</pre>
m
Call:
lm(formula = Lifetime ~ X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5, data = case0501)
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
                  X1TRUE
                               X2TRUE
                                            X3TRUE
                                                          X4TRUE
     32.691
                  12.425
                                9.606
                                            -5.289
                                                          10.194
confint(m)
                2.5 % 97.5 %
(Intercept) 30.951394 34.431062
X1TRUE
             9.995893 14.854984
X2TRUE
             7.269897 11.942013
X3TRUE
            -7.848142 -2.730232
X4TRUE
             7,723030 12,665943
X5TRUE
             4.523030 9.465943
```

X5TRUE

6.994

R code (cont.)

summary(m)

Call: lm(formula = Lifetime ~ X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5, data = case0501) Residuals: Min 10 Median ЗQ Max -25,5167 -3,3857 0.8143 5.1833 10.0143 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 32,6912 0.8846 36.958 < 2e-16 *** X1TRUE 12,4254 1.2352 10.059 < 2e-16 *** X2TRUE 9.6060 1.1877 8.088 1.06e-14 *** 1.3010 -4.065 5.95e-05 *** X3TRUE -5.2892X4TRUE 10.1945 1.2565 8.113 8.88e-15 *** X5TRUE 6.9945 1.2565 5.567 5.25e-08 *** Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 6,678 on 343 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.4543,Adjusted R-squared: 0.4463 F-statistic: 57.1 on 5 and 343 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Interpretation

- β₀ = E[Y_i|reference level], i.e. expected response for the reference level Note: the only way X_{i,1} = ··· = X_{i,p} = 0 is if all indicators are zero, i.e. at the reference level.
- β_p, p > 0: expected change in the response moving from the reference level to the level associated with the pth dummy variable
 Note: the only way for X_{i,p} to increase by one is if initially X_{i,1} = ··· = X_{i,p} = 0 and now
 X_{i,p} = 1

For example,

- The expected lifetime for mice on the N/N85 diet is 32.7 (31.0, 34.4) months.
- The expected increase in lifetime for mice on the N/R40 diet compared to the N/N85 diet is 12.4 (10.0,14.9) months.
- The model explains 45% of the variability in mice lifetimes.

Using a categorical variable as an explanatory variable.



Equivalence to multiple group model

Recall that we had a multiple group model

$$Y_{ij} \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\mu_j, \sigma^2)$$

for groups $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 5$.

Our regression model is a reparameterization of the multiple group model:

$$\begin{array}{ll} N/N85: & \mu_0 &= \beta_0 \\ N/R40: & \mu_1 &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \\ N/R50: & \mu_2 &= \beta_0 + \beta_2 \\ NP: & \mu_3 &= \beta_0 + \beta_3 \\ R/R50: & \mu_4 &= \beta_0 + \beta_4 \\ lopro: & \mu_5 &= \beta_0 + \beta_5 \end{array}$$

assuming the groups are labeled appropriately.

Summary

- 1. Choose one of the levels as the reference level.
- 2. Construct dummy variables using indicator functions for all other levels, e.g.

 $X_i = I(\text{observation } i \text{ is } < \text{some non-reference level} >).$

3. Estimate the parameters of a multiple regression model using these dummy variables.