S01 - Logistic Regression

STAT 401 (Engineering) - Iowa State University

April 23, 2018

(STAT401@ISU)

Linear regression

The linear regression model

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$$

$$\mu_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{i,p}$$

where

- Y_i is continuous
- X_i is continuous or categorical (indicator variables)

What if Y_i is

- binary or
- a count (of the number of success out of some total)?

Logistic regression

Let

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if observation } i \text{ is a "success"} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and X_i be an explanatory variable that affects the probability of success θ_i for observation i.

Then a logistic regression model is

$$Y_i \overset{ind}{\sim} Ber(\theta_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{logit}(\theta_i) = \mathsf{log}\left(\frac{\theta_i}{1-\theta_i}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$$

where the logistic function of X_i is

$$\theta_i = f(X_i) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i)}}.$$

```
ggplot(d, aes(x,theta,color=beta0,linetype=beta1,group=interaction(beta0,beta1))) +
geom_line() +
theme_bw() +
labs(x="Explanatory variable (x)", y="Probability of success")
```



```
(STAT401@ISU)
```

Interpretation

When $X_i = 0$, then

$$E[Y_i|X_i = 0] = \theta_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta_0}}$$

thus β_0 determines the probability of success when the explanatory variable is zero.

The odds of success when $X_1 = x$ is

$$\frac{\theta_1}{1-\theta_1} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x}.$$

The probability of success when $X_2 = x + 1$ is

$$\frac{\theta_2}{1-\theta_2} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1(x+1)} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_1}.$$

Thus, the multiplicative change in the odds for a 1 unit increase in x is

$$\frac{\frac{\theta_2}{1-\theta_2}}{\frac{\theta_1}{1-\theta_1}} = \frac{e^{\beta_0+\beta_1x+\beta_1}}{e^{\beta_0+\beta_1x}} = e^{\beta_1}$$

This is also referred to as an odds ratio.

(STAT401@ISU)

S01 - Logistic Regression

Lung cancer due to smoking

To *prove* a causal relationship between lung cancer and smoking, there should be clear evidence that there is a dose response between lung cancer and smoking.

But since lung cancer is binary, we need to compare the proportion of individuals who have lung cancer to those who don't amongst the individuals who smoke about the same amount.

To investigate the causes of lung cancer, researchers conducted a case-control study where the 49 cases of individuals with lung cancer where *matched* with 98 controls from a population of residents having the same general age structure. (In case-control studies, the intercept does not have our standard interpretation because it is determined by our sampling.)

```
ggplot(lung_cancer, aes(`Cigarettes Per Day`, `Lung Cancer`)) +
geom_jitter(height=0.1) +
theme_bw()
```



Analysis

```
m <- glm(`Lung Cancer`=="Yes" ~ `Cigarettes Per Day`,</pre>
        data = lung_cancer,
        familv = "binomial")
summary(m)
Call:
glm(formula = `Lung Cancer` == "Yes" ~ `Cigarettes Per Day`,
   family = "binomial", data = lung_cancer)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 10 Median 30 Max
-1.5148 -0.9688 -0.7166 1.3449 1.8603
Coefficients:
                   Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)
                  -1.53541 0.37707 -4.072 4.66e-05 ***
Cigarettes Per Dav: 0.05113 0.01939 2.637 0.00836 **
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 187.14 on 146 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 179.62 on 145 degrees of freedom
ATC: 183.62
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

```
ggplot(lung_cancer, aes(`Cigarettes Per Day`, 1*(`Lung Cancer` == "Yes"))) +
 geom_jitter(height=0.1) +
 stat_smooth(method="glm",
              se=FALSE,
              method.args = list(family="binomial")) +
 theme_bw() +
 scale_y_continuous(breaks=c(0,1), labels=c("No","Yes")) +
 labs(y = "Lung Cancer")
```



```
(STAT401@ISU)
```

Example

Grouping

Often data are grouped:

lung_cancer_grouped

A tibble: 19 x 5

Cigarettes	Per Day``l			`Number without lung cancer`	
	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	
1	0	17	1	16	
2	1	2	0	2	
3	2	2	0	2	
4	3	1	1	0	
5	4	2	0	2	
6	5	2	0	2	
7	6	3	1	2	
8	8	2	0	2	
9	10	15	4	11	
10	12	5	1	4	
11	15	27	12	15	
12	16	1	1	0	
13	18	2	0	2	
14	20	38	16	22	
15	25	15	7	8	
16	30	7	3	4	
17	37	1	0	1	
(STAT401@ISU)		S01 -	Logistic Regression	April 23, 2018	10 / 19

Example

Binomial distribution

The probability mass function of the binomial distribution is

$$P(Y=y) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \qquad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Properties:

• $E[Y] = n\theta$ • $V[Y] = n\theta(1-\theta)$

```
xx = 0:10
plot(xx, dbinom(xx, 10, .3), main="Probability mass function for Bin(10,.3)",
     xlab="v", vlab="P(Y=Y)", pch=19)
```





April 23, 2018 11 / 19

Logistic regression for grouped data

Let Y_i be the number of success out of n_i attempts in group i. Then a logistic regression model is

 $Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i)$

$$\mathsf{logit}(\theta_i) = \mathsf{log}\left(\tfrac{\theta_i}{1-\theta_i} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$$

where

- Y_i is an integer from 0 to n_i
- Bin refers to the binomial distribution

Logistic regression in R

```
m = glm(cbind(`Number with lung cancer`, `Number without lung cancer`) ~ `Cigarettes Per Day`,
       data = lung_cancer_grouped,
       familv="binomial")
summarv(m)
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(`Number with lung cancer`, `Number without lung cancer`) ~
    'Cigarettes Per Dav', family = "binomial", data = lung cancer grouped)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 10 Median 30
                                     Max
-1.5148 -1.0253 -0.5070 0.3305 1.7922
Coefficients:
                    Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.53541 0.37707 -4.072 4.66e-05 ***
Cigarettes Per Dav: 0.05113 0.01939 2.637 0.00836 **
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 28.651 on 18 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 21,141 on 17 degrees of freedom
ATC: 48,879
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

confint(m)

Effect of birdkeeping on lung cancer

The data set we have been analyzing was actually constructed to investigate the relationship between birdkeeping and lung cancer. But, since we know smoking increase the probability of developing lung cancer, we want to control for the effect of smoking when assessing the effect of bird keeping. Thus, we will run a logistic regression with both smoking and bird-keeping to determine the effect of bird-keeping on lung cancer.

Summarize data

```
lung_cancer_bird <- Sleuth3::case2002 %>%
  group_by(CD, BK) %>%
  summarize(y = sum(LC == "LungCancer"),
           n = n().
           p = v/n
lung_cancer_bird
# A tibble: 30 x 5
# Groups: CD [?]
     CD BK
                  У
                        n p
   <int> <fct> <int> <int> <dbl>
      0 Bird 1 8 0.125
      0 NoBird 0 90
 3
   1 NoBird 0 2 0
     2 NoBird 0 2 0
3 NoBird 1 1 1.00
 4
 5
     4 Bird 0 1 0
4 NoBird 0 1 0
5 Bird 0 1 0
 6
 7
 8
    5 NoBird 0 10
 9
10
    6 Bird
                  1
                        1 1.00
# ... with 20 more rows
```

Visualize data

```
ggplot(lung_cancer_bird, aes(CD, p, size=n, color=BK, shape=BK)) +
geom_point() +
theme_bw() +
labs(x="Cigarettes per day", y="Proportion with lung cancer")
```



(STAT401@ISU)

Model

Let Y_i be the number of success out of n_i attempts in group i with explanatory variables $X_{i,1}$ and $X_{i,2}$. Then a logistic regression model is

$$Y_i \stackrel{ind}{\sim} Bin(n_i, \theta_i)$$

$$\operatorname{logit}(\theta_i) = \log\left(\frac{\theta_i}{1-\theta_i}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,1} + \beta_2 X_{i,2}$$

The interpretation is

- The probability of success is $\frac{1}{1+e^{-\beta_0}}$ when all explanatory variables are zero. (Except in a case-control study.)
- The odds ratio for a one unit increase in $X_{i,1}$ is e^{β_1} when holding all other explanatory variables constant.
- The odds ratio for a one unit increase in $X_{i,2}$ is e^{β_2} when holding all other explanatory variables constant.

Logistic regression with multiple explanatory variables

```
# LC is binary
summary(m <- glm(cbind(y,n-y) ~ CD + BK, data=lung_cancer_bird, family="binomial"))</pre>
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(y, n - y) ~ CD + BK, family = "binomial",
   data = lung cancer bird)
Deviance Residuals:
            10 Median 30
   Min
                                      Max
-1.7167 -0.9555 -0.5413 0.4025 2.1594
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.94683 0.41319 -2.291 0.021935 *
         0.05838 0.02087 2.797 0.005157 **
CD
BKNoBird -1.45760 0.38856 -3.751 0.000176 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 53,386 on 29 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 30.612 on 27 degrees of freedom
ATC: 66.07
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

```
nd <- expand.grid(CD = 0:45, BK=c("Bird","NoBird"))
pd <- cbind(nd, data.frame(p=predict(m, newdata = nd, type = "response")))
ggplot() +
geom_point(data = lung_cancer_bird, aes(CD, p, size=n, color=BK, shape=BK)) +
geom_line(data = pd, aes(CD, p, color=BK, linetype=BK)) +
theme_bw() +
labs(x="Cigarettes per day", y="Proportion with lung cancer")</pre>
```



```
(STAT401@ISU)
```